

Central Coast Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements (PCRs)

Resolution No. R3-2013-0032
Annual Reporting Form
August 2014 Version

Due Date: By October 15, 2014 and October 15 annually thereafter, Permittees must submit this reporting form.

Instructions: Complete form electronically. Answer questions and supply requested information for the Reporting Period only. Upload completed form to Storm Water Multiple Application and Report Tracking System (SMARTS) and name the file, "PCRs Annual Report [insert reporting period]". Also, upload requested attachments to SMARTS using specified nomenclature.

SECTION I: GENERAL PERMITTEE INFORMATION

WDID# and Permittee Name

County:

SECTION II: REPORTING PERIOD

Reporting Period:

SECTION III: COMPLETED PROJECTS

How many projects, that received occupancy completion documentation (e.g., Certificate of Occupancy) during the Reporting Period, created and/or replaced \geq 2,500 square feet of impervious surface?

SECTION III: CONTINUED ...

Project categories based on created and/or replaced impervious surface area		Number of Projects in each category that received occupancy completion documentation (e.g., Certificate of Occupancy) during the Reporting Period and had an approval per PCRs Provision B.1.c
Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
≥ 2,500 square feet	<5,000 square feet Net Impervious Area (all projects except single-family homes) and <15,000 square feet Net Impervious Area (only single-family homes)	2
≥5,000 square feet Net Impervious Area (all projects except single-family homes) and ≥15,000 square feet Net Impervious Area (only single-family homes)	<15,000 square feet (all projects except single-family homes) and <15,000 square feet Net Impervious Area (only single-family homes)	0
≥15,000 square feet (all projects except single-family homes) and ≥15,000 square feet Net Impervious Area (only single-family homes)	<22,500 square feet	0
≥22,500 square feet	N/A	0
Total		2

SECTION IV: PROJECTS SUBJECT TO POST-CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

Performance Requirements*	Number of Projects subject to Performance Requirements that received completion documentation during the Reporting Period	Number of Projects with structural Water Quality Treatment, Runoff Retention, and/or Peak Management controls	Number of Projects where field verification of Site Design, Water Quality Treatment, Runoff Retention, and/or Peak Management controls was completed	Number of Projects where field verification confirmed <u>ALL</u> Site Design, Water Quality Treatment, Runoff Retention, and/or Peak Management controls were implemented in accordance with PCRs
Only No. 1	2	N/A	2	2
Only Nos. 1 and 2	0	0	0	0
Only Nos. 1, 2, and 3	0	0	0	0
Only Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4	0	0	0	0
Total	2	0	2	2

* Only include projects once in table. For example, if a project triggers all four performance requirements, only address that project in the, “Only Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4” row. Do not also count the project in the cells for the above three rows.

SECTION V: SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND ALTERNATIVE COMPLIANCE

Note: If the Permittee did not grant any Special Circumstances and/or Alternative Compliance for Projects that received completion documentation during the Reporting Period, skip Section V.

To add another Project, click 'Add Row'

Add Row

Delete Row

Names of Projects that received completion documentation during the Reporting Period and the Permittee granted Special Circumstances and/or Alternative Compliance	Alternative Compliance type (Select all that apply)								If technical infeasibility is rationale for Alternative Compliance, does Project's Stormwater Control Plan adequately demonstrate basis for infeasibility?
	Watershed or Regional Plan	Urban Sustainability Area	Highly Altered Channel Special Circumstance	Intermediate Flow Control Facility Special Circumstance	Historic Lake or Wetland Special Circumstance	Technical Infeasibility Performance Requirement No. 2	Technical Infeasibility Performance Requirement No. 3	Technical Infeasibility Performance Requirement No. 4	
< No applicable projects completed >	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>

SECTION V: CONTINUED ...

To add another Project, click 'Add Row'

Add Row

Delete Row

Names of Projects that received completion documentation during the Reporting Period and the Permittee granted Special Circumstances and/or Alternative Compliance	Alternative Compliance type (Select all that apply)									If technical infeasibility is rationale for Alternative Compliance, does Project's Stormwater Control Plan adequately demonstrate basis for infeasibility?
	Watershed or Regional Plan	Urban Sustainability Area	Highly Altered Channel Special Circumstance	Intermediate Flow Control Facility Special Circumstance	Historic Lake or Wetland Special Circumstance	Technical Infeasibility Performance Requirement No. 2	Technical Infeasibility Performance Requirement No. 3	Technical Infeasibility Performance Requirement No. 4		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>

SECTION VI: MITIGATION PROJECTS CONSTRUCTED FOR ALTERNATIVE COMPLIANCE

Were there any mitigation projects constructed for Alternative Compliance during the Reporting Period? Yes No

If yes, did the Permittee upload to SMARTS the below information?

- A summary description of mitigation projects constructed during the Reporting Period comparing the expected aggregate results of Alternative Compliance projects to the results that would otherwise have been achieved by meeting the numeric Performance Requirements on-site. The summary should quantitatively compare results. For example, if the Alternative Compliance project is mitigating for a project that could not fully meet Performance Requirement No. 3 onsite, then the summary should quantify the following: 1) onsite retention volume required by Performance Requirement No. 3, 2) volume of runoff actually retained on site, and 3) volume of runoff retained at the Alternative Compliance project site.
- For public offsite mitigation projects, a summation of total offsite mitigation funds raised to date and a description (including location, general design concept, volume of water expected to be retained, and total estimated budget) of all pending public offsite mitigation projects

SMARTS upload title: *"PCRs Annual Report [insert reporting period] – Mitigation Projects"*

SECTION VII: LONG-TERM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Did the Permittee upload to SMARTS a copy (e.g., screenshot) of the structural Stormwater Control Measure Operation and Maintenance database that shows all entries from the Reporting Period (see PCRs Provision E.3)? Yes No

SMARTS upload title: *"PCRs Annual Report [insert reporting period] – Long-Term Operation and Maintenance"*

SECTION VIII: ADDITIONAL UPLOADS

Did the Permittee upload to SMARTS information to demonstrate Performance Requirement No. 1 was applied to all applicable projects during the Reporting Period (including sample checklist)? Yes No

SMARTS upload title: *"PCRs Annual Report [insert reporting period] – Performance Req No1 Implementation"*